

CORRECTION

Open Access



Correction: Scleral appearance is not a correlate of domestication in mammals

Kai R. Caspar^{1,2,3*} , Lisa Hüttner² and Sabine Begall²

Zoological Letters (2023) 9:12

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s40851-023-00210-z>

Following publication of the original article, it came to the attention of the authors that there were errors in Supplementary File 1. Namely, some of the data concerning *mean scleral brightness* and *HC* entries for the genus *Mustela* were incorrect. The species-level value for *mean scleral brightness* in domesticated *Mustela* was found to be 128.3 (rather than 107.9) and was 146.93 (rather than 124.6) in the non-domesticated form (*M. putorius / eversmanni*). Regarding the species-level *HC* value, this needed to be adjusted to 133.34 (in place of 132.67) for non-domesticated *Mustela* but had been correctly reported for the domesticated form of *Mustela*. These errors affected the analyses presented in the article, which were all based on the erroneous dataset in question, as well as the figures, which, as a result of the errors, were inaccurate with respect to data points corresponding to *Mustela*.

The article original article has been updated to correct the errors in question. In this regard, the authors note

that the errors were relatively minimal and that the correcting thereof does not affect the interpretation of the paper's findings. The results of phylogenetic paired *t*-tests remain almost unchanged (comparison of domesticated vs. non-domesticated forms - *mean scleral brightness* data: $t = 0.96$, $p = 0.36$; *HC*: $t = 0.95$, $p = 0.36$) and the effects of eye size on scleral brightness in the initial PGLS model that included the entire species sample remain non-significant ($p > 0.325$). The authors thank you for reading this erratum and apologize for any inconvenience caused.

Supplementary Information

The online version of the original article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40851-024-00242-z>.

Supplementary Information 1

Published online: 28 October 2024

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

*Correspondence:

Kai R. Caspar
kai.caspar@hhu.de

¹Institute of Cell Biology, Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany

²Department of General Zoology, University of Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany

³Department of Game Management and Wildlife Biology, Faculty of Forestry and Wood Sciences, Czech University of Life Sciences, Praha, Czech Republic



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.